

INTERVIEW

C Kent Osborne, MD

Dr Osborne is Dudley and Tiny Sharp Chair in Cancer Research, Director of the Dan L Duncan Cancer Center, Director of the Lester and Sue Smith Breast Center and Professor of Medicine and Molecular and Cellular Biology at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas.

Tracks 1-12

Track 1	Emerging role of PARP inhibitors in the treatment of BC and other solid tumors	Tra
Track 2	Association between DNA repair signature and response to anthracyclines in TNBC	Tr
Track 3	Objectives of the ASCO/College of American Pathologists guidelines for ER testing with immunohisto- chemistry (IHC)	
Track 4	Discordance in measurement of ER between primary BC and metastatic disease after hormonal therapy	Tr
Track 5	Analysis of the BIG 1-98 trial: Up-front letrozole versus switching from tamoxifen to letrozole or vice versa	Tra
Track 6	Potential mechanisms of tumor resistance to endocrine therapy	т.
Track 7	Differing mechanisms of acquired resistance to trastuzumab and lapatinib in HER2-positive BC	Tra

- Track 8 Potential explanation for the apparent benefit of adjuvant trastuzumab for patients with HER2-normal BC
- Track 9 EMBRACE trial: Improved survival with eribulin mesylate (E7389) compared to physician's choice of treatment for patients with previously treated locally recurrent BC or mBC
- Track 10 Prognostic and predictive value of the Onco*type* DX assay for postmenopausal women with ER-positive, node-positive BC who are receiving chemotherapy
- Track 11 Defining the role of sentinel lymph node resection compared to conventional axillary lymph node dissection in BC
- Track 12 Value of IHC in the evaluation of lymph nodes of patients with lobular BC

Select Excerpts from the Interview

📊 Track 9

DR LOVE: What are your thoughts on the study presented at ASCO this year on the novel agent eribulin mesylate (3.1)?

DR OSBORNE: Eribulin mesylate is a new chemotherapeutic agent that is derived from a marine sponge and works as a microtubule inhibitor. We participated in the trial, and the data appear promising (Twelves 2010; [3.2]). A survival advantage was evident among patients with advanced breast cancer who had previously received a median of four regimens. Typically our

standard chemotherapy drugs do not show a survival advantage in this setting, so that was interesting. In view of this, I would be interested in seeing its activity in earlier lines of therapy compared to other standard agents.



Twelves C et al. Proc ASCO 2010; Abstract CRA1004.



EMBRACE Phase III Study: Efficacy Data of Eribulin versus Treatment of Physician's Choice (TPC) in Locally Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer

	Eribulin (n = 508)	TPC ¹ (n = 254)	Hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value
Median overall survival	13.1 months	10.7 months	0.81	0.041
One-year survival	53.9%	43.7%	—	_
Median PFS (independent review)	3.7 months	2.2 months	0.87	0.14
Median PFS (investigator review)	3.6 months	2.2 months	0.76	0.002
Overall response	12.2%	4.7%		0.0002

 $^1\,\text{No}$ patients on the TPC arm received biologic therapy alone or supportive care. <code>PFS = progression-free survival</code>

Twelves C et al. Proc ASCO 2010; Abstract CRA1004.

📊 Track 10

DR LOVE: As a coauthor of the paper published in *Lancet Oncology* that evaluated the Onco*type* DX assay in patients with node-positive breast cancer, would you comment on the clinical implications of this study?

DR OSBORNE: The growing body of data indicating that certain patients with node-positive disease fare well with hormonal therapy alone led us to

retrospectively evaluate the 21-gene Onco*type* DX assay for approximately 40 percent of the patients who participated in the SWOG-8814 trial.

Our analysis of the Oncotype DX assay in patients with node-positive breast cancer demonstrated that a much larger proportion of patients who might not receive additional benefit with adjuvant chemotherapy could be identified by the Recurrence Score than by ER and HER2 scores alone (Albain 2010; [3.3]). Patients with low Recurrence Scores don't benefit from chemotherapy, but patients with high Recurrence Scores clearly obtain a substantial benefit (Albain 2009).

It is interesting to note that a strong trend for benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy was evident in patients with intermediate Recurrence Scores, which is different than what was seen in an analysis of patients with node-negative breast cancer (Paik 2004). I must caution that this was a retrospective analysis of a fraction of the larger clinical trial. Therefore, these findings are not definitive, but they are similar to observations that patients with endocrine-responsive tumors don't benefit from chemotherapy. I have changed my practice, and I infrequently use adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with strongly ER-positive, PR-positive, HER2-negative tumors with a low Ki-67 or low Recurrence Scores, even if the nodes are positive.



SELECT PUBLICATIONS

Albain KS et al. Prognostic and predictive value of the 21-gene recurrence score assay in postmenopausal women with node-positive, oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer on chemotherapy: A retrospective analysis of a randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11(1):55-65.

Paik S et al. A multigene assay to predict recurrence of tamoxifen-treated, node-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;351(27):2817-26.

Twelves C et al. A phase III study (EMBRACE) of eribulin mesylate versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer previously treated with an anthracycline and a taxane. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract CRA1004.